

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FINAL Meeting Minutes**

Department of Transportation | Videoconference

Online: <https://zoom.us/j/98729158988?pwd=YkY1T3d3VXpjZ0EydIRabnZpTIYxdz09>

Dial-in: +1 669 900 6833 US,,98729158988#,,,,*778340#

WEDNESDAY November 3, 2021 - 6:00 p.m.

Members of the public wishing to address the committee on any item not on the agenda may do so at the beginning of the meeting. We ask that members of the public request to speak and keep their remarks brief. Testimony will be limited to a total of ten (10) minutes.

1. Roll Call / Welcome and Introductions

Members: Thomas Cassera, Robert Goss, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero, Pat Perez
6:01 p.m.

Present: Thomas Cassera, Robert Goss, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero, Pat Perez

Excused: None

Unexcused: None

2. Public Comment on Non-agenda Topics

None

3. Review and Approve Meeting Minutes of September 15, 2021

Action Item

Motion: Approve meeting minutes of September 15, 2021 as is.

Action: Motion/Second: Goss/Comerchero

Yes: Robert Goss, Jack Wursten, Pat Perez, Thomas Cassera, Dave Comerchero, Sue Schooley

No: None

Abstain: None

4. Re-envision West Arden Arcade Plan

Review and Comment

Kristi Grabow, Associate Planner, Planning and Environmental Review, grabowk@saccounty.net,
(916) 874-5345

6:06 p.m.

- Growing trees in 4' and 5' landscape planters is not recommended, as they are prone to tearing up the asphalt. While this concept looks great, it would be best to ensure that what is included in the plan can be delivered, to be fair to the community who will anticipate its development.
- The 10.5' vehicle travel lane is highly commendable by the Committee.
- Traffic calming plans still need to be developed.
- Emergency vehicle routes have not been included in the plan yet.
- Businesses are concerned about how loading and unloading of supplies would work. Overall, more studies need to be done before moving forward with any ideas.

The meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for documents in accessible formats, interpreting services, assistive listening devices, or other accommodations should be made through the County Disability Compliance Office at (916) 874-7642 or (916) 874-7647 (TTY/TDD), no later than five working days prior to the meeting.

- It was clarified that this neighborhood vision was developed based on the analysis done for the ATP; it is integrated into the overarching plan already.
- About 2000 people from the mailing list alone have been introduced to this project, and the interactive draft plan forum is up and will be online for the next 2 weeks, allowing more people to add comments and suggestions.
- On page 45 of the report, in the Community Vision statement, the phrase, “Consider safety for all modes of travel”, does not convey enough urgency to show that safety is a priority.

Motion: Submit Re-envisioning document for approval, with the recommendation to use stronger wording in regards to the topic of safety.

Action: Motion/Second: Comerchero/Perez

Yes: Thomas Cassera, Robert Goss, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero, Pat Perez

No: None

Abstain: None

5. Draft Sacramento County Active Transportation Plan

Review and Comment

Libby Nachman, Alta Planning, (510) 540-5008, libbynachman@altaplanning.com

6:40 p.m.

Questions:

- What languages were used for translation, in particular for Asian languages?
- Why do we need an environmental document on the plan? Will this delay plan implementation? How were projects scored with respect to accident corridors versus environmental justice communities?
- Did bicycle stores provide feedback?
- Does the plan address the increased amount of work for County staff in order to implement the plan?
- Is there a centralized implementer?

Committee comments on the public draft:

- Right on red removal and slip lane removal are included as infrastructure recommendations. Did that suggestion come from the community and what does the County need to do in order to implement that?
- Response: The community expressed challenges of being able to cross the street safely at intersections. These recommendations were made in an effort to address those concerns.
- Driver awareness needs to be expanded and fleshed out. The current references are inadequate.
- Safe Routes to School programs – Parents need to be taught.
- Safe Neighborhoods need to be promoted, if they are indeed really safe.
- SacDOT needs to commit to sweeping bicycle lanes.
- Development activity and utility activity cause parallel cuts in bike lanes. The patches installed afterwards are not great and result in a safety problem. Need to increase/improve inspection and confirmation that repairs are safe and adequate.
- The designation of study corridor seems like a delay. There should be a Class II bike lane while studying the segment for a Class IV.

Response: Most of the facilities proposed for Class IV are already a Class II.

- Electric transportation, in particular, micromobility, is seriously underrepresented. There is no addressing of the rules and regulations on how to control scooters, etc. Charge points should also be incorporated into the plan.
- Bike lockers listed are only at light rail stations. This makes it seem like no businesses in Sacramento have bike lockers for their employees, which is not the case.
- There needs to be more publicity for the public draft to encourage people to comment. The Transportation management agencies were not aware that we released the public draft.
- Add information on volunteer maintenance programs in regards to implementation and funding to address the rising cost of maintenance.
- There should be more photos of Sacramento region infrastructure and a new cover photo. The public draft is too wordy and there is too much information that is repeated.
- Use the phrase “people who live, work, and play” in Sacramento County, rather than just residents.
- One committee member was not able to edit her own comment or delete her comment.
- Several committee members wanted to see the draft a second time in December.

No action taken.

6. Informational Items

- Final Meeting Minutes of August 25, 2021
- Local Road Safety Plan Memorandum
- Smart Cycling Course

7. Staff Updates and Reports Back

- SacBAC Vacancy
- SacDOT Personnel Changes

8. Future Agenda Items

- Active Transportation Program Cycle 6 Project Candidates
- Hazel and US 50 Interchange
- Annual Report from SacBAC to BOS
- 50 Corridor TMA

9. Set Next Meeting Dates

- a) Next SacBAC meeting: December 8, 2021

Online: <https://zoom.us/j/98729158988?pwd=YkY1T3d3VXpjZ0EydlRabnZpTIYxdz09>

- Meeting is moved back to its original date: December 15, 2021

Dial-in: +1 669 900 6833 US,,98729158988#,,,,*778340#

- b) Adjourn SacBAC

Action: **Motion/Second:** Wursten/Comerchero

Yes: Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero, Robert Goss, Pat Perez, Thomas Cassera

No: None

Abstain: None

8:12 p.m.