

**COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FINAL Meeting Minutes**

Department of Transportation | Videoconference

Online: <https://zoom.us/j/98729158988?pwd=YkY1T3d3VXpjZ0EydIRabnZpTIYxdz09>

Dial-in: +1 669 900 6833 US,,98729158988#,,,,*778340#

WEDNESDAY August 25, 2021 - 6:00 p.m.

Members of the public wishing to address the committee on any item not on the agenda may do so at the beginning of the meeting. We ask that members of the public request to speak and keep their remarks brief. Testimony will be limited to a total of ten (10) minutes.

1. Roll Call / Welcome and Introductions

Members: Thomas Cassera, Robert Goss, Sue Schooley, Erin Stumpf, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero

6:00 p.m.

Present: Thomas Cassera, Robert Goss, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero

Excused: Erin Stumpf

Unexcused: None

2. Public Comment on Non-agenda Topics

None

3. Review and Approve Meeting Minutes of May 19, 2021 and July 21, 2021

Action Item

Motion: Approve meeting minutes of May 19, 2021 as is.

Action: Motion/Second: Wursten/Comerchero

Yes: Thomas Cassera, Robert Goss, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero

No: None

Abstain: None

Motion: Remove Katherine Koumis from roll call since she had already resigned as a member, and approve the remaining meeting minutes of July 21, 2021.

Action: Motion/Second: Wursten/Cassera

Yes: Sue Schooley, Dave Comerchero, Jack Wursten, Thomas Cassera

No: None

Abstain: Robert Goss

- It was clarified that members who were absent from a meeting do not have to abstain from approving the minutes for said meeting
- Committee chair suggested that a consultant should finish their presentation before any committee members give feedback, and that each member will be asked for their input to avoid two-way conversations with consultant.

The meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for documents in accessible formats, interpreting services, assistive listening devices, or other accommodations should be made through the County Disability Compliance Office at (916) 874-7642 or (916) 874-7647 (TTY/TDD), no later than five working days prior to the meeting.

4. Fair Oaks Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility Phase 2

Review and Comment

Leslie Fung, (916) 876-5836, funjl@saccounty.net

6:07 p.m.

- Committee member expressed concern about the lack of consistency in using broken green stripes in potential areas of conflict, i.e. where traffic crosses the bike lane. Other areas with broken segments did not meet this criteria, leading to visual confusion, and thus should be reviewed.
- There was reassurance that the frontage road on the Fulton and Fair Oaks intersection will be open. Additionally, while there is currently no left turn movement, it will be fully signalized in the future.
- Committee suggested making the wider lanes (12' versus 11') closer to the bicycle lane, rather than the median.
- Where delineators are present, the Committee supports the green paint as shown on the striping plan. However, the Committee's preference is for lanes fully painted in green where delineators are absent and outside of conflict areas.

Motion: Make a recommendation to DOT: Where green lanes are appropriate, the Committee desires for continuous green paint to be used. The Committee requests a report back on the cost differential of continuous green paint versus partial green for the Fair Oaks Phase 2 project. The Committee requests a policy on the use of green bicycle lanes.

Action: Motion/Second: Comerchero/Goss

Yes: Thomas Cassera, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero, Robert Goss

No: None

Abstained: None

5. Active Transportation Plan Update

Review and Comment

Mikki McDaniel, Transit and Bicycle Coordinator (916) 875-4769, mcdanielm@saccounty.net

6:43 p.m.

- Efforts should be increased to get more community involved in bicycle engagement, for indoor and outdoor social events. (e.g. bike valet) Public and social media outreach should be more proactive.
- Under "Goal 1: Safety & Comfort", a percent increase of walking and bicycle trips was projected in the 1st bullet point, but no baseline year was provided. All similar bullet points with any projected change should be reviewed, and a baseline year added
- Under "Goal 2: Connectivity & Access", for the 4th bullet, the year of the ADA Transition Plan should be specified. (i.e. 2020)
- Again, under "Goal 2: Connectivity & Access", for the 7th bullet, no need to use "etc." in combination with "e.g."
- Committee expressed confusion on the math in the prioritization scoring table. It was explained that taking the maximum of the subcategories equals the total points. The paragraph clarifying the scoring methodology needs to be moved up earlier in the chapter. A scoring example should be included as well, preferably involving Fair Oaks Blvd and Howe Ave.
- In the table for estimated maintenance costs, the numbers under the column, "Cost per mile per year", should be double checked. There is too great a difference between Class IV and Class I.
- Under the prioritization category, Safety and Comfort, on page 18, the line "more comfortable" should be revised to "more comfortable and safe".
- All tables should be titled, and these should be visible on the same page. For example, on page 20, a header for the maintenance cost table should be added, with a year indicated.
- On page 29, the funding cycles should be specified for each of the programs described.

6. Informational Items

- Sacramento County Local Road Safety Plan Emphasis Areas
 - A more aggressive approach to speeding reduction is requested.
 - Be careful about the practical implementation of the Emergency Response goal, described in the 2nd bullet, as this could end up being very costly, in the tens of millions.
 - Review how pedestrian violations are planned to be decreased. Note that increasing enforcement would not be supported by the Committee.
- Examples of Community Stories – Active Transportation Plan
 - Ensure that people from the entire county, and not just city, are interviewed.

7. Staff Updates and Reports Back

- Active Transportation Committee
 - Some Committee members prefer to remain a primarily bike-focused group, given there are already other committees designed to focus on pedestrian and ADA safety.
 - Committee member proposed that attending an ATP meeting and observing the dynamics of their group would be a good idea before any final decisions are made.
- Personnel Changes

8. Future Agenda Items

- Active Transportation Program Cycle 6 Project Candidates
- Capital Corridor Southeast Connector
- Re-envision Arden Arcade Complete Streets Plan
- Non-Infrastructure Programs Consulting Services

9. Set Next Meeting Dates

a) Next SacBAC meeting: Sept 15

Online: <https://zoom.us/j/98729158988?pwd=YkY1T3d3VXpjZ0EydlRabnZpTIYxdz09>

Dial-in: +1 669 900 6833 US,,98729158988#,,,,*778340#

b) Adjourn SacBAC

Motion/Second: Comerchero/Goss

Yes: Thomas Cassera, Sue Schooley, Jack Wursten, Dave Comerchero, Robert Goss

No: None

Abstain: None

7:17 p.m.